Marshfield Development Review Board Minutes

Thursday, April 9, 2015, 7:00 p.m., Old Schoolhouse Common

<u>Present:</u> DRB Members, James Arisman, Les Snow, and Gary Leach. **Absent:** Jenny Warshow and Michael Schumacher. Visitors: Gregg Breer and John Anderson.

At 7:00 p.m., James Arisman, presiding for the DRB, called the meeting to order. The DRB met on this date under the provisions of Section 2010 of the Town of Marshfield Subdivision Regulations, which provide for an Informal Information Meeting between potential subdivision applicants and the DRB to discuss the application process and general requirements for submission of information and documentation to the DRB.

Under Section 2010, at such an informational meeting, no testimony or exhibits are received, no findings of fact are made, and no rights accrue of any kind to any party. The purpose of such a meeting is to facilitate the subdivision application process by allowing applicants to ask questions and receive procedural information and clarification prior to submitting a formal application.

Greg Breer holds property on Folsom Hill Road in Marshfield. Mr. Breer stated that the lot consists of approximately 5.0 acres. The current lot includes within its boundaries two structures, both of which are located centrally within the parcel. Mr. Breer is considering possible subdivision of the present lot so as to yield two lots: one lot would be 3 acres in size, and the other would be 2 acres in size. (If subdivision were to be approved as proposed, each new lot would include a structure within its boundaries. Mr. Breer contemplates possible sale of one of the subdivided lots. Mr. Breer provided the DRB members in attendance with copies of a map with annotations indicating approximate distances and the approximate location of structures and boundaries.

The DRB members discussed the application process with Mr. Breer and answered questions regarding procedure and the timing of steps required in the subdivision review process. The DRB members urged Mr. Breer to seek to provide a copy of a survey of the subject parcel so as to permit the DRB to have a professionally prepared plan for its review prior to any public hearing on his subdivision application.

Mr. Breer was not under oath, no testimony was provided by him, and no exhibits

were entered into the record. The DRB made no findings of facts or decisions, as is consistent with the purposes of a Section 2010 informational meeting of the body.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:40 p.m.

Minutes By: James S. Arisman, DRB Member